

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

X/

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/724,828	12/02/2003	Leila Toumi	236691US0	2823	
22850 OBLON, SPIN	7590 01/03/200 /AK, MCCLELLAND	EXAMINER			
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			VENKAT, JYOTHSNA A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1615		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/03/2008	ELECTRONIC	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/724,828	TOUMI ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
<u>.</u>		JYOTHSNA A. VENKAT Ph. D	1615				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR is SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to divide apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDON	N). imely filed in the mailing date of this communic ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	·	·					
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	October 2007.		,			
2a)□		nis action is non-final.					
3)	<i>'</i> —	•	rosecution as to the merit	s is			
•—	closed in accordance with the practice under	•	•				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application	on.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>19-25</u> is/are withdra	•					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.						
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement					
	on Papers	, or					
			•				
	The specification is objected to by the Examin						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	·					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		-				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the l	Examiner. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-15	2.			
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	·					
12)[🔀]	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	an priority under 35 U.S.C. & 1196	a)-(d) or (f)				
		gri priority under 00 0.0.0. 3 1 10(	a) (a) or (i).	_			
۵,,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	nts have been received		·			
	<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr			<u>.</u>			
	application from the International Bure	•					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a li	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ved.				
Attachmen		A D taken taken 2	(DTO 412)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail					
3) 🛛 Infon	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	, 5) Notice of Informal	Patent Application				
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/4/04</u> .	6) Ll Other:					

Application/Control Number:

10/724,828 Art Unit: 1615

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Receipt is acknowledged of election filed on 10/24/07. Receipt is acknowledged of IDS filed on 6/4/04. Claims 1-25 are pending in the application and the status of the application is as follows:

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of group I in the reply filed on 10/24/07 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 19-25 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 10/2/407.

There is no art with respect to synthetic polymer belonging to aryl groups. Therefore species requirement is withdrawn.

# Priority

If applicant desires to claim the benefit of a prior-filed application under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), based upon provisional application (60/459,641, which is in French, Applicant must provide a certified English translation of the provisional applications. Further, a specific reference to the prior-filed application in compliance with 37 CFR 1.78(a) must be included in the first sentence(s) of the specification following the title or in an application data sheet. For benefit claims under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121 or 365(c), the reference must include the relationship (i.e., continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part) of the applications.

If the instant application is a utility or plant application filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) on or after November 29, 2000, the specific reference must be submitted during the pendency of the application and within the later of four months from the actual filing date of the application or sixteen months from the filing date of the prior application. If the application is a utility or plant application which entered the national stage from an international application filed on or after November 29, 2000, after compliance with 35 U.S.C. 371, the specific reference must be submitted during the pendency of the application and within the later of four months from the date on which the national stage commenced under 35 U.S.C. 371(b) or (f) or sixteen months

10/724,828 Art Unit: 1615

from the filing date of the prior application. See 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and (a)(5)(ii). This time period is not extendable and a failure to submit the reference required by 35 U.S.C. 119(e) and/or 120, where applicable, within this time period is considered a waiver of any benefit of such prior application(s) under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121 and 365(c). A benefit claim filed after the required time period may be accepted if it is accompanied by a grantable petition to accept an unintentionally delayed benefit claim under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121 and 365(c). The petition must be accompanied by (1) the reference required by 35 U.S.C. 120 or 119(e) and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) or (a)(5) to the prior application (unless previously submitted), (2) a surcharge under 37 CFR 1.17(t), and (3) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) or (a)(5) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Director may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional. The petition should be addressed to: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450.

If the reference to the prior application was previously submitted within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 1.78(a), but not in the first sentence(s) of the specification or an application data sheet (ADS) as required by 37 CFR 1.78(a) (e.g., if the reference was submitted in an oath or declaration or the application transmittal letter), and the information concerning the benefit claim was recognized by the Office as shown by its inclusion on the first filing receipt, the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a) and the surcharge under 37 CFR 1.17(0 are not required. Applicant is still required to submit the reference in compliance with 37 CFR 1.78(a) by filing an amendment to the first sentence(s) of the specification or an ADS. See MPEP § 201.11.

Claims 1-18 are pending in the application and the status of the application is as follows:

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. **This is written description rejection**.

This is a "written description" rejection, rather than an enablement rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. *Vas-Cath Inc. V. Mahurka*, 19 USPQ2d 1111, states that applicant must convey with reasonable clarity to those skilled in the art that, as of the filing date sought, he or she was in possession of the invention. The invention, for purposes of the "written description" inquiry is "whatever is now claimed" (see page 1117).

A review of the language of the claim indicates that these claims are drawn to:

A process for treating keratin material, comprising the simultaneous or consecutive application to keratin material of two compositions (a) and (b):

composition (a) comprising particles comprising: (i) at least one cosmetic active principle comprising one or more aromatic, carbocyclic or heterocyclic, monocyclic or fused polycyclic groups, with a molecular weight of less than or equal to 1000, and

(ii) at least one synthetic polymer containing aryl groups with a glass transition temperature (Ts) of 15 greater than or equal to 45°C and comprising covalent bonds capable of being cleaved by a reagent R present in composition (b),

o composition (b) comprising at least one reagent R capable of cleaving at least one covalent bond of the synthetic polymer containing aryl groups in the particles of composition (a),

the chemical cleavage of at least one covalent bond of the synthetic polymer containing aryl groups in the particles of composition (a) by reagent R resulting in a release of the aromatic cosmetic active principle.

The written description rejection is with respect to the cosmetic active principle and the synthetic polymer and the reagent R.

10/724,828

Art Unit: 1615

A description of a genus may be achieved by means of a recitation of a representative number of species falling within the scope of the genus or of a recitation of structural features common to the members of the genus, which features constitute a substantial portion of the genus. Regents of the University of California v. Eli Lilly & Co., 119 F3d 1559, 1569, 43 USPO2d 1398, 1406 (Fed. Cir. 1997). In Regents of the University of California v. Eli Lilly (43 USPQ2d 1398-1412), the court held that a generic statement which defines a genus of nucleic acids by only their functional activity does not provide an adequate written description of the genus. The court indicated that, while applicants are not required to disclose every species encompassed by a genus, the description of the genus is achieved by the recitation of a representative number of species falling within the scope of the claimed genus. At section B (1), the court states "An adequate written description of a DNA ... requires a precise definition, such as by structure, formula, chemical name, or physical properties, not a mere wish or plan for obtaining the claimed chemical invention". Hence, an adequate written description of the ingredients requires more than a mere statement that it is part of the invention and reference to a potential method for isolating it.

Applicant fails to adequately describe "synthetic polymer... cleaved by R in the composition or the dependent claims limitations, which are claims 7-11. With respect to limitation of claims 7-8 the synthetic polymer has polyorganosiloxane group that has aryl groups linked directly to silicon atom and the ratio of the number of aryl groups to silicon atoms in the polymer is 1/15-2/1. Only one compound is mentioned by trade name and this compound is polyphenylpropylsilsesquioxane sold by DOW corning as DC ® Z-6018. There is no description

for the limitation of claims 7-8 other than polyphenylpropylsilsesquioxane. What is the ratio of the aryl groups to silicon atom? Specification does not give a formula for the silsesquioxane.

The same is true for the limitations of claims 10-11. Specification does not give any structure for the polyurethanes or polyesters. Specification at page 9 describes that the polyurethane not only encompasses polyurethanes per se but also polyurethanes having polyurea and poly thiourea groups. Specification does not describe one single species belonging to this synthetic polymer of claim 10. The same is also true for claim 11 limitation wherein the synthetic polymer containing aryl groups is polyester or polyamide. Specification fails to describe single compound belonging to any of these polymers belonging to synthetic polymer. These polymers are used for encapsulation. The same is true for the reagent R. The only description for reagent R is Hydrogen peroxide.

The disclosure of a single disclosed species may provide an adequate written description of a genus when the species disclosed is representative of the genus. However, the present claim encompasses numerous species that are not further described.

The description requirement of the patent statue requires a description of an invention, not an indication of a result that one might achieve if one made that invention. See *In re Wilder* 736 F.2d 1516, 1521, 222 USPQ 369, 372-373 (Fed. Cir. 1984). Accordingly, it is deemed that the specification fails to provide adequate written description for the genus "synthetic polymer of claim 1 or dependent claims belonging to synthetic polymer of claims 5-11" or reagent R or active principle and does not reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed has possession of the entire scope of the invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The phrase "type" renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "type"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6, and 12-16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by GB 1,144,100 ('100).

See the tile, see page 2, col.1, ll 25-44 for encapsulation and encapsulating material. The polymers styrene, cellulose acetate phthalate has aryl groups and this reads on the synthetic polymer. See all the examples for dye (reads on the cosmetic active principle) and hydrogen peroxide (claim 4) or urea peroxide in the examples reads on reagent R capable of cleaving at least the synthetic polymer containing the aryl groups. Claim 3 of the patent reads on claim 18 of the instant application.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JYOTHSNA A. VENKAT Ph. D whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number:

10/724,828

Art Unit: 1615

Page 8

571-272-0607. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:30-7:30:1st Friday

off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, MICHAEL WOODWARD can be reached on 571-272-8373. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/JYOTHSNA A. VENKAT/ Ph. D

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1615

\*\*\*